

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS	S1, INS2) COMPLAINT/I	DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION	ON (FUI) ARMS COMPL	AINT NO:		
AIDC ID#, 0550026 DATE: 2/10/09	ADDWE: 10.00	DED A D.T. 10.20		
AIRS ID#: 0550026 DATE: <u>3/18/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00</u>	DEPART: <u>10:20</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SEBRING SEPTIC	TANK & PRECAST CO			
FACILITY LOCATION: COMMI	ERCIAL BLVD, US 98			
SEBRIN	G 33870-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENT	ATIVE: WARREN COPELAND	<b>PHONE:</b> (863)655-2030		
CONTACT NAME: secretary		<b>PHONE:</b> (655)203-0		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/30/2006 (effective dat				
(circenve day	:) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANC	E STATUS (check 🗹 only one box	x)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MIN	OR Non-COMPLIANCE SIG	GNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
DADT II. TECTING/DECODDKEEDIN	IC DECLIDEMENTS Dula 62.2	06 414 E A C		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPIN (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	IG REQUIREMENTS – Ruie 02-2	90.414, F.A.C.		
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emissions tests condu	cted during this site visit according t	o EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter \textstyle Yes \tag No		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh he	oppers (batchers), and other enclosed	storage and conveying equipment		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
	e normal silo loading rate, or at least practice?	at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,		
4. Are emissions from the weigh hop	per (batcher) operation controlled by	the silo dust collector? (If answer		
	tinue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) be to question 5.)	low. If answer is "No" then		
a) Was the batching operation in o		s test?		
duration?		Yes No		
5. If emissions from the weigh hoppe from the silo dust collector, are the	er (batcher) operation are controlled be visible emissions tests of the weigh	*		
		patching rate and duration?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	ne □Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	; <u> </u>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processin plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take	e reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and ye					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No					
<ol><li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-su</li></ol>					
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to		☐Yes ☐ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate					
CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - F	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?		∐Yes ∐ No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without rep		□Yes □ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diffe					
recent notification form?		∐Yes ∐ No			
	d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050					
local program office?		☐Yes ☐ No			
Frank Stanton, R.S.	3/18/08				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
<b>COMMENTS:</b> VE Test cancelled due to administrative mixup by	client.				